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#### Abstract

The equations of the massive Thirring model are shown to have a non-trivial prolongation structure and to be related to an $\operatorname{SL}(2, R)$ connection form. From this an inverse scattering problem is determined and an infinite set of conservation laws constructed.


## 1. The prolongation structure

In characteristic coordinates the equations of the classical massive Thirring model (Thirring 1958, Coleman 1975) take the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{i} \partial_{X} \phi_{1}=m \phi_{2}+g \bar{\phi}_{2} \phi_{2} \phi_{1}  \tag{1.1}\\
& \mathrm{i} \partial_{T} \phi_{2}=m \phi_{1}+g \bar{\phi}_{1} \phi_{1} \phi_{2} \tag{1.2}
\end{align*}
$$

together with their complex conjugates. These equations can be represented by the closed ideal of differential forms generated by the four two-forms $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \bar{\alpha}_{1}, \bar{\alpha}_{2}$ defined to be

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha_{1}=\mathrm{d} \phi_{1} \wedge \mathrm{~d} T+\mathrm{i}\left(m \phi_{2}+g \bar{\phi}_{2} \phi_{2} \phi_{1}\right) \mathrm{d} X \wedge \mathrm{~d} T  \tag{1.3}\\
& \alpha_{2}=\mathrm{d} \phi_{2} \wedge \mathrm{~d} X-\mathrm{i}\left(m \phi_{1}+g \bar{\phi}_{1} \phi_{1} \phi_{2}\right) \mathrm{d} X \wedge \mathrm{~d} T  \tag{1.4}\\
& \bar{\alpha}_{1}=\mathrm{d} \bar{\phi}_{1} \wedge \mathrm{~d} T-\mathrm{i}\left(m \bar{\phi}_{2}+g \bar{\phi}_{2} \phi_{2} \bar{\phi}_{1}\right) \mathrm{d} X \wedge \mathrm{~d} T  \tag{1.5}\\
& \bar{\alpha}_{2}=\mathrm{d} \bar{\phi}_{2} \wedge \mathrm{~d} X+\mathrm{i}\left(m \bar{\phi}_{1}+g \bar{\phi}_{1} \phi_{1} \bar{\phi}_{2}\right) \mathrm{d} X \wedge \mathrm{~d} T . \tag{1.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the techniques of Wahquist and Estabrook (1975) we can show that the one-form $\Omega$ defined by
$\Omega=\mathrm{d} \zeta+\left(X_{0}+X_{1} \phi_{2}+X_{2} \bar{\phi}_{2}+X_{3} \bar{\phi}_{2} \phi_{2}\right) \mathrm{d} X+\left(X_{4}+X_{5} \phi_{1}+X_{6} \bar{\phi}_{1}+X_{7} \bar{\phi}_{1} \phi_{1}\right) \mathrm{d} T$
is a prolongation one-form for the ideal (1.3)-(1.6) provided the generators $X_{i}$ obey the following commutation relations:
$\left[X_{0}, X_{4}\right]=0 \quad\left[X_{0}, X_{5}\right]=\mathrm{i} m X_{1} \quad\left[X_{0}, X_{6}\right]=-\mathrm{i} m X_{2} \quad\left[X_{0}, X_{7}\right]=0$
$\left[X_{1}, X_{4}\right]=-\mathrm{i} m X_{5}\left[X_{1}, X_{5}\right]=0 \quad\left[X_{1}, X_{6}\right]=-\mathrm{i} m\left(X_{3}+X_{7}\right)\left[X_{1}, X_{7}\right]=\mathrm{i} g X_{1}$
$\left[X_{2}, X_{4}\right]=\mathrm{i} m X_{6} \quad\left[X_{2}, X_{5}\right]=\mathrm{i} m\left(X_{3}+X_{7}\right)\left[X_{2}, X_{6}\right]=0 \quad\left[X_{2}, X_{7}\right]=-\mathrm{i} g X_{2}$

$$
\left[X_{3}, X_{4}\right]=0 \quad\left[X_{3}, X_{5}\right]=-\mathrm{i} g X_{5} \quad\left[X_{3}, X_{6}\right]=\mathrm{ig} X_{6}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[X_{3}, X_{7}\right]=0 \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can simplify these relations if we make the additional ansatz that
$X_{4}=a X_{0}, \quad X_{5}=b X_{1}, \quad X_{6}=c X_{2}, \quad X_{7}=d X_{0}, \quad X_{3}=e X_{0}$,
then the relations (1.8)-(1.11) imply that

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[X_{0}, X_{1}\right]=\frac{\mathrm{i} m}{b} X_{1}=\frac{\mathrm{i} m b}{a} X_{1}=-\frac{\mathrm{i} g}{d} X_{1}=-\frac{\mathrm{i} g}{e} X_{1}}  \tag{1.13}\\
& {\left[X_{0}, X_{2}\right]=-\frac{\mathrm{i} m}{c} X_{2}=-\frac{\mathrm{i} m c}{a} X_{2}=\frac{\mathrm{i} g}{d} X_{2}=\frac{\mathrm{ig}}{e} X_{2}}  \tag{1.14}\\
& {\left[X_{1}, X_{2}\right]=-\frac{\mathrm{i} m(d+e)}{c} X_{0}=-\frac{\mathrm{i} m(d+e)}{b} X_{0}} \tag{1.15}
\end{align*}
$$

and we see that we must select $a, b, c, d$ and $e$ so that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{i} m / b=\mathrm{i} m b / a=-\mathrm{i} g / d=-\mathrm{i} g / e  \tag{1.16}\\
& -\mathrm{i} m / c=-\mathrm{i} m c / a=\mathrm{i} g / d=\mathrm{i} g / e  \tag{1.17}\\
& -\mathrm{i} m(d+e) / c=-\mathrm{i} m(d+e) / b \tag{1.18}
\end{align*}
$$

These relations have the general solution
$a=b^{2}, \quad c=b, \quad d=e=-g b / m, \quad$ with $b$ arbitrary.
If we define $b=\lambda^{-2}$ then the commutation relations of $X_{0}, X_{1}, X_{2}$ take the form
$\left[X_{0}, X_{1}\right]=\mathrm{i} m \lambda^{2} X_{1} \quad\left[X_{0}, X_{2}\right]=-\mathrm{i} m \lambda^{2} X_{2} \quad\left[X_{1}, X_{2}\right]=2 \mathrm{i} g X_{0}$.
If we define $Y_{0}, Y_{+1}, Y_{-1}$, by the identifications
$X_{0}=\mathrm{i} m \lambda^{2} Y_{0} \quad X_{1}=(\mathrm{gm})^{1 / 2} \lambda i Y_{+1} \quad X_{2}=(\mathrm{gm})^{1 / 2} \lambda \mathrm{i} Y_{-1}$
then the algebra of the $Y_{0}, Y_{ \pm 1}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[Y_{0}, Y_{+1}\right]=Y_{+1} \quad\left[Y_{0}, Y_{-1}\right] \quad\left[Y_{+1}, Y_{-1}\right]=+2 Y_{0} \tag{1.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the algebra of $\operatorname{SL}(2, R)$. A one-dimensional representation of $\operatorname{SL}(2, R)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{0}=\zeta \partial / \partial \zeta \quad Y_{+1}=\zeta^{2} \partial / \partial \zeta \quad Y_{-1}=-\partial / \partial \zeta \tag{1.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

and yields the following representation of the generators $X_{i}$ :

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
X_{0}=\mathrm{i} m \lambda^{2} \zeta \partial / \partial \zeta & X_{1}=\mathrm{i}(g m)^{1 / 2} \lambda \zeta^{2} \partial / \partial \zeta & X_{2}=-\mathrm{i}(g m)^{1 / 2} \lambda \partial / \partial \zeta \\
X_{3}=-\mathrm{i} g \zeta \partial / \partial \zeta & X_{4}=\mathrm{i} m \lambda^{-2} \zeta \partial / \partial \zeta & X_{5}=\mathrm{i}(g m)^{1 / 2} \lambda^{-1} \zeta \partial / \partial \zeta \\
X_{0}=-\mathrm{i}(g m)^{1 / 2} \lambda^{-1} \partial / \partial \zeta & X_{7}=-\mathrm{i} g \zeta \partial / \partial \zeta . &
\end{array}
$$

This gives the prolongation form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Omega=\mathrm{d} \zeta+\mathrm{i}\left(m \lambda^{2} \zeta+\lambda(m g)^{1 / 2} \zeta^{2} \phi_{2}-(m g)^{1 / 2} \lambda \bar{\phi}_{2}-g \zeta \bar{\phi}_{2} \phi_{2}\right) \mathrm{d} X  \tag{1.27}\\
& +\mathrm{i}\left(m \lambda^{-2} \zeta+(m g)^{1 / 2} \lambda^{-1} \zeta^{2} \phi_{1}-(m g)^{1 / 2} \lambda^{-1} \bar{\phi}_{1}-g \zeta \bar{\phi}_{1} \phi_{1}\right) \mathrm{d} T . \tag{1.28}
\end{align*}
$$

From this we see that the Cartan-Ehresman connection (Hermann 1976)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=\omega_{0}+\omega_{1} \zeta+\omega_{2} \zeta^{2} \tag{1.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \omega_{0}=-\mathrm{i}(m g)^{1 / 2}\left(\lambda \bar{\phi}_{2} \mathrm{~d} X+\lambda^{-1} \bar{\phi}_{1} \mathrm{~d} T\right)  \tag{1.30}\\
& \omega_{1}=\mathrm{i}\left[\left(m \lambda^{2}-g \bar{\phi}_{2} \phi_{2}\right) \mathrm{d} X+\left(m \lambda^{-2}-g \bar{\phi}_{1} \phi_{1}\right) \mathrm{d} T\right]  \tag{1.31}\\
& \omega_{2}=\mathrm{i}(m g)^{1 / 2}\left(\lambda \phi_{2} \mathrm{~d} X+\lambda^{-1} \phi_{1} \mathrm{~d} T\right) \tag{1.32}
\end{align*}
$$

has the property that its curvature forms

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Omega_{0}=\mathrm{d} \omega_{0}-\omega_{0} \wedge \omega_{1}=\lambda \bar{\alpha}_{2}+\lambda^{-1} \bar{\alpha}_{1}  \tag{1.33}\\
& \Omega_{1}=\mathrm{d} \omega_{1}-2 \omega_{0} \wedge \omega_{2}=-\mathrm{i} g\left(\phi_{2} \bar{\alpha}_{2}+\bar{\phi}_{2} \alpha_{2}+\phi_{1} \bar{\alpha}_{1}+\bar{\phi}_{1} \alpha_{1}\right)  \tag{1.34}\\
& \Omega_{2}=\mathrm{d} \omega_{2}+\omega_{2} \wedge \omega_{1}=\lambda \alpha_{2}+\lambda^{-1} \alpha_{1} \tag{1.35}
\end{align*}
$$

lie in the ring of forms generated by $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \bar{\alpha}_{1}, \bar{\alpha}_{2}$. Thus the equations of the massive Thirring model can be associated with the vanishing of an $\operatorname{SL}(2, R)$ connection in an analogous way to the non-linear Schrödinger equation (Corones 1977, Morris 1977).

Sectioning $\Omega$ onto a solution manifold of (1.1)-(1.2) gives us a non-linear scattering problem in the Ricatti form,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \zeta_{X}=-\mathrm{i}\left[m \lambda^{2} \zeta+\lambda(m g)^{1 / 2} \zeta^{2} \phi_{2}-(m g)^{1 / 2} \lambda \bar{\phi}_{2}-g \bar{\phi}_{2} \phi_{2} \zeta\right]  \tag{1.36}\\
& \zeta_{T}=-\mathrm{i}\left[m \lambda^{-2} \zeta+\lambda^{-1}(m g)^{1 / 2} \zeta^{2} \phi_{1}-(m g)^{1 / 2} \lambda^{-1} \bar{\phi}_{1}-g \bar{\phi}_{1} \phi_{1} \zeta\right] . \tag{1.37}
\end{align*}
$$

If we take a two-dimensional representation for the $Y_{0}, Y_{ \pm 1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{0}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\zeta^{2} \partial / \partial \zeta^{2}-\zeta^{1} \partial / \partial \zeta^{1}\right) \quad Y_{+1}=\zeta^{2} \partial / \partial \zeta^{1} \quad Y_{-1}=\zeta^{1} \partial / \partial \zeta^{2} \tag{1.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

then we obtain a linear inverse scattering problem of the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \zeta_{X}^{1}=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{i}\left(m \lambda^{2}-g \bar{\phi}_{2} \phi_{2}\right) \zeta^{1}-\mathrm{i}(m g)^{1 / 2} \lambda \phi_{2} \zeta^{2}  \tag{1.39}\\
& \zeta_{X}^{2}=-\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{i}\left(m \lambda^{2}-g \bar{\phi}_{2} \phi_{2}\right] \zeta^{2}-\mathrm{i}(m g)^{1 / 2} \lambda \bar{\phi}_{2} \zeta^{1}  \tag{1.40}\\
& \zeta_{T}^{1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(m \lambda^{-2}-g \bar{\phi}_{1} \phi_{1}\right) \zeta^{1}-\mathrm{i}(m g)^{1 / 2} \lambda^{-1} \phi_{1} \zeta^{2}  \tag{1.41}\\
& \zeta_{T}^{2}=-\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{i}\left(m \lambda^{-1}-g \bar{\phi}_{1} \phi_{1}\right) \zeta^{2}-\mathrm{i}(m g)^{1 / 2} \lambda^{-1} \bar{\phi}_{1} \zeta^{1} . \tag{1.42}
\end{align*}
$$

This form has also been determined by Michaelov (1976) using different methods. The inverse scattering problem above can be solved (A C Newell 1978, private communication) and multisoliton solutions determined.

## 2. Conservation laws

From equations (1.36) and (1.37) one easily discoveres that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{i}\left[\lambda\left(\phi_{2} \zeta\right)_{T}-\lambda^{-1}\left(\phi_{1} \zeta\right)_{X}\right]=(m g)^{1 / 2}\left(\phi_{1} \bar{\phi}_{2}-\bar{\phi}_{1} \phi_{2}\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus if we expand $\zeta$ as a series in $\lambda$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{2 i+1} \zeta_{t} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

the quantity $C_{1}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{i}=\int \phi_{2} \zeta_{i} \mathrm{~d} X \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is conserved for all $i$.
The first two coefficients in the series for $\zeta$ are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{0}=(g / m)^{1 / 2} \bar{\phi}_{1} \quad \zeta_{1}=m^{-1}(g / m)^{1 / 2}\left(\mathrm{i} \bar{\phi}_{1 T}+g \bar{\phi}_{1} \phi_{1} \bar{\phi}_{1}\right) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the corresponding conserved quantities

$$
C_{0}=\int(g / m)^{1 / 2} \phi_{2} \bar{\phi}_{1} \mathrm{~d} X
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1}=\int m^{-1}(g / m)^{1 / 2} \phi_{2}\left(\mathrm{i} \bar{\phi}_{1 T}+g \bar{\phi}_{1} \phi_{1} \bar{\phi}_{1}\right) \mathrm{d} X \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above result is more concisely expressed if we note that the potential one-form $\omega$ defined by,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=\left(\lambda \phi_{2} \mathrm{~d} X+\lambda^{-1} \phi_{1} \mathrm{~d} T\right) \zeta-(g / m)^{1 / 2} \bar{\phi}_{1} \phi_{1} \mathrm{~d} T=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{2 t+1} \omega_{i} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

can easily be shown to provide a prolongation of the ideal (1.3)--(1.6) prolonged by the one-forms $\Omega$ and $\bar{\Omega}$. Thus we must have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{C} \omega_{2}=0 \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ is any curve lying in the solution manifold of the Thirring equations (1.1)-(1.2). Equations (2.6) and (2.7) should also be compared with the analogous expressions for the anticommuting Thirring model (Morris 1978).
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